



Peterson, Eric <ebpeterson@usbr.gov>

(no subject)

1 message

Kim Mattson <Mattson@ecosystemsnorthwest.com>

Thu, Mar 31, 2016 at 11:51 AM

Reply-To: Mattson@ecosystemsnorthwest.com

To: Eric Peterson <ebpeterson@usbr.gov>

----- Forwarded Message -----

Date: Thu, 31 Mar 2016 11:15:11 -0700**From:** Kim Mattson <Mattson@EcosystemsNorthwest.com>**Reply-To:** Mattson@EcosystemsNorthwest.com**To:** krause.af@gmail.com

Krause summary:

.

• Administrative

o decision context needs to be reviewed (rules of operation),

o clarify the fundamental objectives acknowledge the grand experiments that tie them together..

o Workplan that defines who does what when and how to share data

§ Work plan include labor and budget for syntheses and science exchange

o Funding for long term and annual

o Funding for two fundamental objectives.

o Having planning labor and budget to report out to the public the results of adaptive management. Biannual conferences to two way communication between science, stakeholder, and managers.

o Orientation for new members and staff about am

o Rules for consensus and non-consensus. Rules for what has been defined and should not up for debate. This can be part of the decision context.

• Adaptive management

o Portfolio of adaptive mgt study plans with budgets with small scale and grand experiments

o Annual report assessing adaptive management

o Portfolio of am exp consider short and long term, come together

o Doc what we have done.

• DSS

oPerformance measure should include frequency and predicable and testable to help Define performance measures

oid trade offs

oNeed to consider other perf measures beyond just science.

DSS should include consideration of channel rehab for healthy river and fish production

--

Kim Mattson
Ecosystems Northwest
Mount Shasta, CA
530-926-4136
cell 925-5943



Virus-free. www.avast.com